Case No. 3:11-cv-03856-SI

Master File No. 3:07-md-01827-SI

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, plaintiff Schultze Agency Services, LLC, on behalf of Tweeter Opco, LLC and Tweeter Newco, LLC ("Tweeter") filed a First Amended Complaint (the "FAC") in the above-captioned action against defendant Mitsui & Co. (Taiwan), Ltd. ("Mitsui Taiwan"), among other defendants, on December 6, 2011. (See Master Dkt. #4283.)

WHEREAS, on January 10, 2012 most of the defendants filed a joint motion to dismiss the FAC for failure to state a claim. (See Master Dkt. #4522.)

WHEREAS, Mitsui Taiwan did not participate in the defendants' joint motion to dismiss, having previously entered into a stipulation with Plaintiffs deferring Mitsui Taiwan's deadline to respond to the FAC until after the Court ruled on Mitsui Taiwan's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction in the related case of *Electrograph Systems*, Inc., et al. v. Epson Imaging Devices Corp., et al., Individual Docket No. 3:10-cv-00117-SI (N.D. Cal.), Master Docket No. 3:07-md-01827-SI (N.D. Cal.). (See Master Dkt. #4203.)

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2012, the Court denied Mitsui Taiwan's motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction in the related *Electrograph* action. (Master Dkt. #4742.)

WHEREAS, Mitsui Taiwan's deadline to move, answer, or otherwise respond to the FAC in the instant case is February 22, 2012, twenty-one-days after the Court ruled on Mitsui Taiwan's motion to dismiss the amended complaint in the related *Electrograph* action. (See Master Dkt. #4203.)

WHEREAS, in the interests of avoiding duplicative motion practice on the question of personal jurisdiction, Mitsui Taiwan intends to join the defendants' joint motion to dismiss the FAC in the instant case as opposed to filing a separate motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2).

WHEREAS, in the interests of efficiency and judicial economy, the parties to this Stipulation have agreed that Mitsui Taiwan, by joining in the defendants' joint motion to dismiss the FAC, as opposed to filing a separate motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2), shall not be deemed to have waived its personal jurisdiction defense.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, Tweeter, on the one hand, and Mitsui

1	Taiwan, on the other hand, that Mitsui Taiwan, in joining in the defendants' joint motion to
2	dismiss the FAC, shall not be deemed to have waived the defense of lack of personal jurisdiction.
3	Dated: February 21, 2012
4	Dated. Teordary 21, 2012
5	By: /s/ Michael E. Mumford
6	Paul P. Eyre Ernest E. Vargo
7	Michael E. Mumford Erin K. Murdock-Park
8	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP PNC Center
9	1900 East Ninth Street, Suite 3200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3482
10	(216) 621-0200 (Phone) (216) 696-0740 (Facsimile)
11	peyre@bakerlaw.com evargo@bakerlaw.com
12	mmumford@bakerlaw.com emurdockpark@bakerlaw.com
13	Tracy Cole
14	BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP 45 Rockefeller Plaza
15	New York, NY 10111 Telephone: (212) 589-4210
16	Facsimile: (212) 589-4201 tcole@bakerlaw.com
17	Counsel for Defendant Mitsui & Co. (Taiwan), Ltd.
18	
19	By: /s/ Philip J. Iovieno Philip J. Iovieno
20	Anne Nardacci Luke Nikas
21	Christopher Fenlon BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
22	10 North Pearl Street, 4th Floor Albany, NY 12207
23	(518) 434-0600 (Phone) (518) 434-0665 (Facsimile)
24	piovieno@bsfllp.com
25	Counsel for Plaintiff Schultze Agency Services, LLC, on behalf of Tweeter Opco, LLC and Tweeter Newco, LLC
26	on senially by Theeter opes, 220 and Theeter Tremes, 220
27	Attestation: The filer of this document attests that the concurrence of the other signatories
28	thereto has been obtained.
	2 STIPULATION RE: MITSUI TAIWAN'S RESPONSE Case No. 3:11-cv-03856-SI

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW CLEVELAND

[PROPOSED] ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2 late of Feb, 2012,

By:

Hon. SUSAN ILLSTON